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In this study, reduplication with fixed segmentism would be analyzed in Optimality Theory (McCarthy & Prince 1995). In this approach, the language-specific syllabic template for reduplication is not needed. The non-copied part is due to avoid the marked structure (Yip 2001). In the Chinese dialects, the reduplicated forms are produced by reduplicating the root and insertions of some fixed segment, e.g. the lateral /l/, such as in the Fuzhou dialect. The relevant markedness constraints are: ONSET, for inserting a fixed segment /l/ as the onset of the second syllable; NOCODA, for no copying the final coda; the prosodic-word form CVVCVC. The faithful constraints are FATH-IR, FATH-IB, FATH-BR, for Input-RED, Input-RED, RED-BASE correspondences. The ranking of the constraints is as: FATH-IR >> NOCODA, ONSET, PrWd-F >> FATH-IB >> FATH-BR. Generally speaking, the ranking of the constraint, NOCODA, is not so high since Fuzhou syllables have different kinds of codas such as vocalic glides, the velar nasal or the glottal stop. Incomplete copying shows that the truncation of the coda and the insertion of the fixed segment /l/ are argued to avoid the marked structure.

1. Introduction.

Reduplication is an interesting topic in the area of the prosodic morphology, even in Optimality Theory (McCarthy & Prince 1995). In Chinese Fuzhou dialect, there are many kinds of reduplicated forms. For example, the total reduplication forms (A>AA) of a monosyllabic noun could be represented as the diminutives (Chen 1998). Partial reduplication forms (AB>ABB or AAB) of disyllabic adjectives in Fuzhou (Qu 1995) amplitude the degree of modification. Moreover, monosyllabic words could be augmented to disyllabic words by composing of reduplication and insertions of fixed segments, such as Fuzhou Qiejiaoci (henceforth FQ), e.g. tuoi>tuo luoi ‘holding hands’. In FQs the final coda segment of the first syllable is always truncated and the /l/ is always inserted as the onset. In this paper, reduplication, augmentation, truncation and fixed segmentism in FQs would be analyzed in Optimality Theory (henceforth OT). The important issue is that the language-specific syllabic template for reduplication is not needed. And the appearance of non-copied part is due to avoid the marked structure.
2. Fuzhou Phonology.
2.1. Consonants.


(1)

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{p} & \text{p}^h & \text{m} \\
\text{t} & \text{t}^h & \text{n} & \text{l} \\
\text{ts} & \text{ts}^h & \text{s} \\
\text{k} & \text{k}^h & \text{ŋ} & \text{x} \\
? & & & \\
\end{array}
\]

Some important phonotactic rules are listed below:

(2a) Bilabial stops change to the labial fricative /β/ between two vocalics, while become to the labial nasal /m/ when follow a nasal.
(2b) The apical consonants /t, ts, s/ change to the lateral /l/ between two vocalics, while become to the coronal nasal /n/ when follow a nasal.
(2c) /ts, ts, s/ would be palatalized to /tγ, tγ, γ/ if they are followed by high front vocalics.
(2d) The velar consonants would be deleted between two vocalics, while become to the velar nasal /ŋ/ when follow a nasal.

2.2. Vowels.

The basic vowels in Fuzhou are /a, e, ə, o, i, u, y/. The three vocalics /i, u, y/, could be the on-glide or the off-glide. Fuzhou vowels could be divided into two sets of the phonetic forms, the lax and the tense, depending on the tones they are associated with (Liang 1982, Qu 1995). The so-called tense vowels co-occur with the tones, Pingsheng, Shangsheng, Yangru while the lax forms with the Qusheng and Yinru. In case of undergoing tone sandhi, the lax vowel will change to its tense counterpart. Generally speaking, the major differences are: a tense vowel tends to higher (e.g. au > ou), or more fronted (e.g. a > a), and the lower half of a lax diphthong is dropped, leaving behind a tense monophthong (εi > i) (Qu 1995). The lax/tense forms of the vowels are listed in (3)

(3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pingsheng, Shangsheng</th>
<th>Qusheng, Yinru Yangru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>εi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.3. Tones.

Fuzhou has seven citation tones, traditionally called Yinping, Yangping, Shangsheng, Yinqu, Yangqu, Yinru, Yangru. According to the pitch value, the seven tones are represented as below in (4) (Liang 1982, Qu 1995, and many other)\(^1\).

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline
\text{The tones} & \text{tonemes} \\
\hline
\text{Yinping (55)} & \text{HH} \\
\text{Yangping (53)} & \text{HL} \\
\text{Shangsheng (31)} & \text{Lor M} \\
\text{Yinqu (213)} & \text{LH} \\
\text{Yangqu (353)} & \text{LHL} \\
\text{Yinru (13)} & \text{LH} \\
\text{Yangru (5)} & \text{H} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

As mentioned above, in Fuzhou [-high] tonal domain the vowels must be the lax forms, while the tense forms mostly are associated with the higher tones. In a disyllabic word domain the tones of the preceding syllable may undergo tone sandhi. The rules of tone sandhi in Fuzhou are complicated. Some of the rules concerned with the FQs would be listed in the next section, and the other irrelevant rules will not be mentioned here.

\(^1\) Zhang (2000) organizes the previous studies about the pitch values of Fuzhou tones.
3. Fuzhou Qiejiaoci (FQ) Formation.

In Fuzhou many monosyllables are augmented into disyllabic words (Chen 1998). The meaning of FQs is almost identical to the original monosyllables. The formation of FQs is explained below.

(5a)  tuoi > tuo luoi  ‘holding hands’
(5b)  taŋ > ta laŋ  ‘sunshine’
(5c)  tau > ta lau  ‘hang’
(5d)  moʔ > mo loʔ  ‘stick’

The data in (5) shown the source syllable is totally copied to form the FQs. The first derived syllable of FQs is alliterated with the source syllable and the second derived syllable is rhymed with the source syllable. The lateral segment /l/ is inserted as the onset of the second derived syllable of FQs. Comparing the two derived syllables of FQs the Final (rime) of the source syllable is reduplicated as the nucleus in the second derived syllable while the coda segments such as /i, u/ (vocalic codas), /ŋ/ (a nasal coda), /ʔ/ (a glottal coda) in (5a-d) are truncated (Liang 1982).

The tone of the second derived syllable of FQs is identical to the source syllable. The tone of first syllable undergoes the two tones sandhi rules listed in (6):

(6a) Pingsheng
     Shangsheng > Shangsheng (31, a mid/low tone)
     Yangru
(6b) Qusheng
     Yinru > Qusheng (11, low tone)²

Generally speaking the sandhi tones of the first syllable in FQs are the low ([-high]) tones, 31 tone, and 11 tone (Liang 1982). If the tone of the source syllable is high, as in (6a), the derived syllable would change to the lower tone. If the source syllable is with the low tone, the tone of the derived syllable would be more lower. As mentioned in (3) a syllable in Fuzhou with the Shangsheng and Qusheng has to be with the tense vowel form. Therefore the derived form with the sandhi tone would be with the tense vowel form.

The special character of FQs is to truncate the coda segment of the source syllable

² The sandhi tone (11) appears in the sandhi domain but not in the citation Fuzhou tones. Comparing with other tones it is very low so I use Qusheng to represent it.
in derivation of FQs. That is, the CV and CGV syllabic structures are the only two possible types in the first syllable of FQs. In Fuzhou \(C_1C_2VC_3C_4\) seems to be the largest template. However in Fuzhou syllables only two of the \(C_2, C_3\) (\(C_2\) to be vocalic glides), \(C_4\) (a nasal or a glottal stop) are allowed to appear in a syllable simultaneously. Liang (1982) listed the fourteen syllabic types in Fuzhou. No matter what the syllabic type is, the formation of the first syllable of FQs is to reduplicate form the onset to the nuclear vowel, but the coda would not be copied. The more data are listed in (7). This interesting phenomenon would be analyzed and explained in OT in the following sections.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(7a)</td>
<td>CVGC</td>
<td>tuoï&gt; tuo luï</td>
<td>‘holding hands (as in 5a)’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7b)</td>
<td>CVN</td>
<td>taŋ&gt;ta laŋ</td>
<td>‘sunshine’ (as in 5b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7c)</td>
<td>CVC</td>
<td>mo?&gt; mo lo?</td>
<td>‘stick’ (as in 5d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7d)</td>
<td>CVG</td>
<td>tau&gt;tau lau</td>
<td>‘hang’ (as in 5c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7e)</td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>pa&gt;pa la</td>
<td>‘kick with strength’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7f)</td>
<td>VGC</td>
<td>ou?&gt;o/u lou</td>
<td>‘fold’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7g)</td>
<td>VG</td>
<td>au&gt; a lau</td>
<td>‘indented’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7h)</td>
<td>VC</td>
<td>a&gt; a la</td>
<td>‘sound of vomit’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7i)</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>o&gt;o lo</td>
<td>‘stick’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7j)</td>
<td>CGVC</td>
<td>sia?&gt;sia lia?</td>
<td>‘slim’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7k)</td>
<td>CGVG</td>
<td>pieu&gt; pie lieu</td>
<td>‘spring out’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7l)</td>
<td>CGV</td>
<td>tie&gt; tie lie</td>
<td>‘drop down’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7m)</td>
<td>GVC</td>
<td>kuŋ&gt;kuo luŋ</td>
<td>‘roll up’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7n)</td>
<td>GVG</td>
<td>uai&gt; ua lai</td>
<td>‘slope’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7o)</td>
<td>GV</td>
<td>uo&gt;uo luo</td>
<td>‘getting together’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. An OT analysis.

4.1. Optimality Theory and Reduplication

Reduplication has been attracted a lot of attention in phonological theory and OT. Many languages seem to have more than one pattern of reduplication, e.g. total or partial reduplication, augmentation or truncation. Reduplication is a kind of morphological word formation where a phonological string with morphological information is repeated. Many kinds of reduplication data have shown that the whole source is copied (total reduplication) with or without phonological change, while some part of the source is copied or disappear or something new appears. Steriade (1988) proposes the derivation is not haphazard, but to avoid marked structures. That is, the many kinds of the surface reduplicating forms derive from a single linguistic system. This idea, the emergence of the unmarked (henceforth TETU), also has been given by McCarthy & Prince (1994) and Spaelti (1997).
In previous studies of reduplication, a template has been postulated onto which segments from the base are mapped. A typical approach of partial reduplication suggests that this is the result of templates proposed by McCarthy (1979), Marantz (1982), McCarthy & Prince (1986) and many others. However such templates have no status in imposing the proper size restriction on the morphological constituent. And the determination of which part to be the reduplicant or the base is tricky, and sometimes arbitrary. In a language, a kind of pattern is made on morphological (or morph-syntactic) grounds while the other may be on phonological or lexicalized grounds. The several types seem to be mutually exclusive. It is argues that they could be combined in a single system implemented in OT. Here it is argued that partial reduplication, e.g. the coda-truncation in FQs is to avoid marked structures. And it is argued that no special reduplication-specific devices are required.

In OT framework, reduplication is explained that an abstract RED is copied from the base in accordance with constraints which are universal and violable. Therefore the different phenomena of reduplication among languages are due to the ranking of the relevant constraints. The deviation between the base and the reduplicant is often found. This is the result of markedness pressure. Whether the pressures affect the reduplicant is due to constraint ranking. M&P (1994) show that TETU account of partial reduplication is possible. If a reduplicative system copies everything in the base that is not marked, the result will be a variety of different forms of truncation of the reduplicant. In total reduplication every segment in ROOT or BASE is required to be parsed in Reduplicant to avoid the violation of the high copying constraint. However some constraint concerning with the TETU is higher than the total copying constraint some part would be deleted or truncated. The ranking of constraints for the FQs is argued as: TETU\textsubscript{CON} >> COPY\textsubscript{CON}.

4.2. An OT analysis of FQs.

The Optimality Theory claims that there is a linguistic mechanism, called GENERATOR, which can produce indefinite Outputs in case of Input in it. The optimal selected output is called the optimal candidate. It is selected according to the ranking of the relevant constraints. The optimal candidate compels others by minimal violations of higher constraints. For FQs the relevant constraints are discussed below.

In OT, reduplication is explained that there is an abstract RED to represent the reduplicants. The phonological materials RED are copied from Input. The model for the analysis of reduplication implemented in OT is listed in (8a) (M&P 1995). The model shows that the IO relationship is the Stem-Base relationship. While the identity between RED and Base is the Output-Output comparing relationship. The ranking of the
faithfulness constraints shows the interactions of the domains.

(8a) Full Model
Input: /Affix_{RED} + Stem/
\[ \swarrow \downarrow \]
\textit{I-O Faithfulness} 
Output: RED \Rightarrow Base
\textit{B-R Identity}

(8b) \textit{FAITH-IB} : every element in Input must have a correspondence in Base.
(8c) \textit{FAITH-BR} : every element in Base must have a correspondence in RED.
(8d) \textit{FAITH-IR} : every element in Input must have a correspondence in RED.

The constraint (8b) requires GEN to produce Output where every element has its correspondence in Input. That is, no deletion or insertion is allowed. In FQs the monosyllable is augmented to disyllabic words. The coda segment in the source syllable is not parsed in Base; that is, there would be violations of \textit{FAITH-IB}. FQs are formed by total reduplication so \textit{FAITH-BR} should be violated and not ranked very high. The phonological materials of the rime in RED are totally copied from Input. Although the segment /l/ has to replace the original onset, the onset element has the correspondence in Base, so there is no violation. The ranking of the three constraints is : \textit{FAITH-IR} >> \textit{FAITH-IB} >> \textit{FAITH-BR}

Tableau 9
Input: t\text{au} ‘hang’, RED Output: t\text{a} l\text{a}u ‘hang’

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Input & FAITH-IR & FAITH-IB & FAITH-BR \\
\hline
\text{t\text{a}u} l\text{a}u & *! & * & \\
\hline
\text{t\text{a}u} l\text{u} & *(u) & * & \\
\hline
\text{t\text{a}u} l\text{a} & *! & * & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In Tableau 9 the black line indicates the constraints are crucially ranked. The candidates (9a) and (9c) delete a segment /u/, /u/ respectively, so they have a fatal violation (* indicating as a violation and *! indicating as violated fatally) of the higher constraint, \textit{FAITH-IR}. In the candidate (9b), the segment /u/ in Input does not have their
correspondence in Base but it violates a lower constraint so (9b) is selected as the optimal candidate (as the symbol \(\text{\&}\) indicated).

(10a) **ANCHOR-L-BR**: the initial element in RED must correspond to the initial element in Base.

(10b) **CONTIGUITY-BR** (no skipping): adjacent elements in RED are required to correspond to adjacent elements in Base.

(10c) **LINEARITY-BR** (no reversion or mutation): the linear order of elements in R is identical to the linear order of their corresponding elements in B.

In FQs the elements are copied from the left edge contiguously and respected to the linear order of the source syllable. The three constraints listed in (10a-c) (M&P 1995) require the initial element in RED to correspond to the initial element in Base; that is, no skipping or reversion is allowed. The different rankings of the three constraints can explain the different kinds of reduplication, e.g. prefixation, suffixation, among languages. In FQs the three constraints are not crucially ranked. Tableau 11 shows the analysis.

### Tableau 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input: tuo(i), RED</th>
<th>Output: tuo luoi</th>
<th>‘holding hands (as in 5a,7a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ranking</strong>: AnL-BR, Cont-BR, Lin-BR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>tuo(i), RED</th>
<th>AnL-BR</th>
<th>Cont-BR</th>
<th>Lin-BR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11a. uo(\text{l})i</td>
<td>* (t)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{&amp;}) 11b. tuo luoi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c. to(\text{l})ou</td>
<td>* (tuo:to)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11d. tuo(i) iuo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>* (uo:iuo)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial \(/t/ in Base does not have its correspondence in the candidate (11a). The candidate (11c) violates the constraint Cont-BR for the skipping of \(/u/$. The linear order of the segments in Base is not respected, so there is a violation in the candidate (11d). The candidate (11b) does not have any violation so is selected as the optimal candidate.

As mentioned above, the segment \(/l/ always appears as the onset in the second syllable of FQs. If there is a consonantal initial onset in Input, \(/l/ would replace it in RED, as shown in (7a-7e, 7j-7m). While not, \(/l/ would be inserted, as in (7f-7i, 7n-7o). Therefore

---

3 In this stage there would be another candidate, \(\text{tau leau}\). The coda is not allowed to appear in FQs. Thus this candidate would be fatal for some other higher constraint. The problem would be discussed more in the following paragraphs.
there is a constraint (in 12a) which requires every syllable must have an onset.

(12a) **ONSET**: every syllable must have an onset.
(12b) * Labial >> * Dorsal >> * Coronal
(12c) **INTERSONORANT VOICING**: * [+ son] [-vcd] [+son]

Among languages the reduplication data show the incomplete copying with various segments (or tones or features) in Input replaced by fixed segments. For example, Yoruba nominalizations, the reduplicative morpheme has the fixed vowel *i* (Alderete et al 1999). In Chinese reduplicative data, /l/ is found as the fixed segment in onsets. Yip (2001) argues that the fixed segmental replacement is an instance of TETU; that is, the appearance of /l/ is to avoid the marked structure. Yip (2001) proposes that the choice of /l/ results from the markedness hierarchy (Prince & Smolensky 1993), listed in (12b).

The hierarchy means that to parse the labials or the dorsals is less harmonious than the coronals. The coronals are the unmarked segments among languages. In Fuzhou the consonant /l/ is a coronal lateral and is analyzed as the variance of /n/ (Chen 1998:7). The preference of /l/ over /t/ is attributed to the avoidance of the markedness structure. It is usually found among languages that the segment between two sonorants would be voiced. Therefore the constraint listed in (12c) prohibits a voiceless segment between two sonorants.

As mentioned above in Fuzhou the stops would change to voiced fricatives between two sonorants (in 2a) and the apical coronals /t, ts, s/ change to the lateral /l/ between two vocalics (in 2b). The phonotactic rules are given the evidence of the constraint (12c). Therefore the choice of /l/ would be attributed to intersonorant voicing since the onset in the second syllable of FQs always be between two vowels. In a sum the ranking of the constraints for the fixed segmentism of /l/ in FQs is : * Labial, Dorsal >> **ONSET >> * **INTER Ved >>* Coronal. The ranking is specially relevant to the onset of the second syllable. An example is given in Tableau 13.

Tableau 13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input : pœ, RED</th>
<th>Output: pœ læ ‘kick with strength’ (as 7e)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ranking: * Labial, Dorsal &gt;&gt; **ONSET &gt;&gt; * *<em>INTER Ved &gt;&gt;</em> Coronal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pœ, RED</th>
<th>* Labial, Dorsal</th>
<th>**ONSET</th>
<th>* **INTER Ved</th>
<th>* Coronal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13a.pœ pœ</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13b.pœ læ</td>
<td>*!</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The candidate (13a) has copied the labial voiceless stop as the onset so it violates the undominated constraint. **ONSET** rules out the candidate (13d) with an onsetless syllable in RED. The coronal /t/ in (13d) is voiceless between two vowels so it is fatal. The candidate (13c) with the unmarked segment /l/ is selected as the optimal candidate.

Another interesting phenomenon of FQs is the truncation of the coda in RED. The incomplete copying is also attributed to the avoidance of marked structure. It is argued that the markedness constraints outrank the faithfulness constraints. Yip (2001) argues that cross-linguistically codas are marked. The CV structure is made by the truncation of the coda in FQs. The CV structure is the unmarked structure cross-linguistically. Thus the constraint **NoCODA** (in 14a) which is the markedness constraint can capture the fact. In FQs the first syllable has no coda while the second syllable copies the whole rime. The ranking of the markedness constraint and the faithfulness constraints is in (14b) and an example is given in Tableau 15.

(14a) **NoCODA**: every syllable has no coda.
(14b) **FAITH-IR >> NoCODA >> FAITH-IB >> FAITH-BR**

Tableau 15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input: tāŋ, RED</th>
<th>Output: tā laŋ ‘sunshine’ (as in 5b, 7b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15a. tā la</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15b. tāŋ laŋ</td>
<td>**!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15c. tāŋ la</td>
<td>**!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15d. tā laŋ</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The two candidates (15a) (15c) are fatal since the coda in Input does not has its correspondence in RED. (15b) has more violations than the optimal candidate (15d) for it has two codas in that word. The phonetic form of the vowel has to change because of the tone sandhi. This part is not discussed here. Generally speaking, the ranking of the constraint, **NoCODA**, is not so high since Fuzhou syllables have different kinds of codas such as vocalic glides, the velar nasal or the glottal stop. However the ranking changes to avoid the marked structure.
5. Conclusions.

In this paper the formation of Fuzhou Qiejiaoci is analyzed in OT framework. A monosyllable is reduplicated and augmented into a disyllabic word. Incomplete copying shown by two points; the truncation of the coda and the insertion of the fixed segment /l/. However the partial reduplication is argued to avoid the marked structure. In OT the total reduplication is due to the effects of the faithfulness constraints. FQs are not formed by total reduplication so the B-R identity is not relevant. The coda-truncation and the fixed segment insertion form the unmarked structures, e.g. CV structure. This is done by the higher markedness constraints.
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