Adjectivals and Adverbials: On the Representation of Quantities and Qualities in Chinese and Implications for Language Typology

Jack H. Rouzer

The Ohio State University

A distinction in the part-of-speech representation of quantities and qualities whether adverbially or adjectivally between Chinese and English is seen in sentences like:

ta jia<u>-cuo</u> le ren she marry-<u>wrong</u> PERF person ?she <u>mis</u>-married a person (adverb quality glosses in English as adjective quality:

'She married the wrong person' (Hsieh (1978)).

This preference in Chinese for representing quantities and qualities adverbially persists when Chinese native speakers write in English exemplified by sentence 'a'—where quantity is represented adverbially; compared to the more English-like alternative sentence 'b' where quantity is represented adjectivally.

- a. ?We need to study more on actual student behavior.
- b. We need more study on actual student behavior.

The extent of this adjective-adverb distinction was tested by asking Chinese native speakers, bilingual in English, to translate a set of English, 'b' type, adjectival sentences into Chinese. Results confirm the preference for adverbial representation in Chinese—suggesting a useful parameter for language typology.

0. Introduction

2,000 years ago Gongsun Long, in the 3rd c. B.C. Zhanguo period, set up a famous paradox that has irritated Confucianists and linguists ever since. It is, of course: 白马非 马, bai-2 ma-3 fei-1 ma-3—'white horse is-not horse.' Chad Hansen (1983) says that this paradox—to make sense—casts doubt on or mitigates the ontological status of NP referents in Chinese. 'Whiteness' and 'horseness' have parity; neither object nor attribute are privileged. Both nominals and attributes in Classical Chinese refer to discontinuous mass and reference entails picking out part of the whole. Thus the combination of 'whiteness' and 'horseness' is not—just—'horseness' since the part does not instantiate the whole; i.e., one feature does not instantiate the whole referent.

However, some critics, e.g., Graham (1986), and Harbsmeier (1991) refuse to abide Hansen, in this way, anachronistically attributing Lesniewski's notion of mereological set (cf. Luschei 1962) to Classical Chinese—such that nominals and attributes refer

to essences scattered throughout Einstein's space-time continuum. Nevertheless, the idea implicit in Hansen's Mass-Noun Hypothesis that problematizes the status of NP referents is planted. Is it possible to have an ontology that does not privilege object over attribute? It is hard to imagine; but it does make one wonder about the ontological status of NP's in a mass-noun/non-count language such as Chinese.

We say that Chinese is a mass-noun/non-count language because NP's lack inflectional morphology. Modern Chinese remains a relatively isolating language—nouns in Chinese are not marked for plural; counting requires the use of classifier terms. These morpho-syntactic features suggest that reference entails picking out part of the whole (mass) rather than picking out individual members of a set. What little morphological marking there is in Chinese has to do with that other main part of speech, the verb. In Resultative Verb Compounds (RVC's), for example, there is scrupulous attention to aspectual features of activities such as entering in 1 and running in 2:

(1) ta jin-guo-lai he/she enter-through-come

(2) ta pao-chu-qu He/she run-away-go

At the sentence level, Chinese exhibits topic-prominent structures rather than subject-prominent structures—which permits zero-PRO for subject reference as in 3:

(3) nei-kuai tian; daozi zhang de hen da; suoyi hen zhiqian That-CL field; rice grow DE very big; therefore very valuable

Structures like this show that less attention is paid to NP's. In this light, Chinese syntax exhibits more attention to action-outcome versus agent-action; agents/subjects may or may not be represented and actions are represented by elaborated VP morphology.

With reference to another part-of-speech, the adjective, one sees that in Chinese, adjectives are usually represented as stative predicates as in 4; i.e., without any BE-form/copula paraphernalia:

(4) ta piaoliang She beautiful

When modifying a noun phrase, adjectives show up in the guise of pre-modifying adjective clause, and, as such, they preserve their verby, predicate character—as in 5:

(5) hen piaoliang de guniang very beautiful DE girl In Li and Thompson's (1981) view, Chinese thus "[lacks] a distinct class of adjectives." In essence, adjectives have been promoted to something more verb-like. This is also the view in Wetzer (1996), who says that Chinese thus exhibits +verby adjectives, which with respect to typology is a feature of languages like Chinese that do not mark for tense. (The predominance of predicate adjectives and the absence of tense-marking is a striking correlation noticed in Wetzer's study of the world's languages.)

One could recapitulate the above sketch of broad facts about Chinese syntax by saying that Chinese thus exhibits an accumulation of features that makes it less nouny and more verby than other languages—e.g., English. The terms, 'nouny,' and 'nouniness,' of course, have a pedigree going back to John Ross (1973) and later applied to Chinese by Chan Ning-Ping in her (1985) dissertation titled, *The Nouny Alternative*. Here, we can use the term, nouny, to denote an accumulation of features that suggest, under the aegis of something like conceptual coherence, an underlying, language-internal explanation for the distribution of morpho-syntactic features, including the distribution of parts-of-speech categories. For example, if Chinese is less nouny than English, then one might predict that adjectives and adverbs might distribute differently across the two types of languages.

1. Adjectivals versus Adverbials

Some hints that the distribution might be different can be gotten from sentences such as 1 to 5 below—where it can be seen that quantities and qualities represented adverbially in Mandarin normally gloss adjectivally in English (example numbering restarts here at 1 for convenience of discussion):

- (1) ta jia<u>-cuo-</u>le ren she marry-<u>wrong-</u>PERF person
 ?she <u>mis</u>-married a person (adverb quality) glosses in English as adjective quality:
 'She married the wrong person.' (Hsieh 1978)
- (2) ta <u>you</u> diu- le yi-ben(r) shu he <u>again</u> lost-PERF one-CL book
 ?he <u>again</u> lost a book (adverb quantity) glosses in English as adjective quantity: 'He lost another book.' (Tai 1982)
- (3) ta shi women <u>xin</u>-lai-de laoshi.
 he is our <u>new</u>-arrive-NOM teacher
 he is our <u>newly</u> arrived teacher (adverb quality) glosses in English as adjective quality:
 'He is our <u>new</u> teacher.'

(4) ta <u>you</u> chi-le yi-wan fan he <u>again</u> eat-PERF one-bowl rice (adverb quantity) glosses in English as adjective quantity:
'He ate <u>another</u> bowl of rice.' (Tai 1982)

(5) san-ben shu ta <u>dou</u> kan-le three-CL book he <u>all</u> read-PERF (adverb quantity) glosses in English as adjective quantity: 'He read <u>all</u> three books.' (Tai 1982)

_.

.....

In 1 and 3 the qualities, 'wrongness' and 'newness' are represented adverbially in Chinese but adjectivally in the English glosses. In 2 and 4, the quantity, 'another' is represented adverbially in Chinese, but adjectivally in English. Similarly in 5, the quantity, 'all' is represented adverbially in Chinese, but adjectivally in English.

Figure (1), below, based on Lyons (1966, 1977), is our attempt to make graphic the fact that parts-of-speech categories can distribute differently across languages. From Lyons' (1966) 'ontologically neutral framework for parts-of-speech,' pristine nominals, i.e., persons, places, and concrete things, anchor the left end of the continuum, while pristine verbals, i.e., visible actions, anchor the right end of the continuum. In principle, everything in between is negotiable. This schema can also be understood with reference to Givon's (1993) notion of time-stability, such that nouns code a cluster of time-stable features—with attendant effects such as object permanence and countability—whereas, verbs code rapid changes, experiential clusters that are low on the time-stability scale, and, thus, anchor the opposite end of the 'lexical-phenomenological scale.'

		Verbal Expressions
		V
		visible actions
	act	tivities
	events	processes
	states	
	Adverbs	
	ADV	
qualities		
quantities		
	qualities	events states Adverbs ADV qualities

What the figure should suggest is that between the two poles, the part-of-speech coding of experiential phenomena can shift across languages. In a nouny language, the

coding shifts to the left and in a verby language, the coding shifts to the right. Furthermore, when scanned from the top down, the figure is meant to represent increasing abstraction away from the prototype nominals and verbals and is also meant to suggest the increasing likelihood of overlap and convergence in the part-of-speech coding of the experiential phenomena. Thus, for example, events and processes are liable to be represented as nominals and as verbals both across and within languages (Rouzer 2003).

These notions about the mutability of part-of-speech categories are brought to mind when one looks at sentences produced by advanced writers of English whose first language is Chinese. The preference for representing quantities and qualities adverbially, described in 1 to 5 above, is manifested quite clearly in the writing of Chinese learners of English as a second language. The 'a' sentences, below—in which quantity and quality is represented adverbially were produced by Chinese learners of English as a second language. The 'b' sentences—in which quantity and quality is represented adjectivally—are the more nouny alternative.

- (6) a. I will visit interesting places <u>as many as possible</u>.
 - b. I will visit <u>as many</u> interesting places <u>as possible</u>.
- (7) a. The authors offer a definition of fuzzy variables <u>roughly</u>.b. The authors offer a rough definition of fuzzy variables.
- (8) a. She <u>completely</u> resolved the matter.b. She resolved the <u>entire</u> matter.
- (9) a. Such questions can be listed <u>a lot</u>.b. <u>Many</u> such questions can be listed.
- (10) a. He <u>predominately</u> adopted Smith's earlier methodology.b. He adopted <u>most of</u> Smith's earlier methodology.
- (11) a. We need to study <u>more</u> on actual student behavior.b. We need <u>more</u> study of actual behavior.
- (12) a. Smith emphasizes <u>more</u> on the relationship between the two.b. Smith puts <u>more</u> emphasis on the relationship between the two.
- (13) a. Autopsies have <u>barely</u> revealed evidence of this linkage.b. Autopsies have revealed <u>little</u> evidence of this linkage.
- (14) a. <u>Both</u> of these findings <u>cannot</u> explain the observation.
 b. <u>Neither</u> of these findings can explain the observation.

- (15) a. These factors <u>almost</u> have no effect.
 - b. These factors have <u>almost</u> no effect.

The pattern of contrast in the part-of-speech representation of quantities and qualities exhibited in the above sentences raises the following questions: How durable and extensive is this distinction? Is this distinction significant enough to be considered as a typological parameter when contrasting the world's languages? In order to test the durability and extent of this distinction, four native speakers of Chinese, fluent in L-2 English, were asked to translate the nouny versions of the above 15 sentences—in which quantities and qualities are represented adjectivally—into Chinese.

2. Supporting Evidence

Asking native speakers of Chinese who are bilingual in English to translate the above nouny sentences into Mandarin got the following results. The four individual informants are identified by the letters a, b, c, and d for each sentence that they produced.

- (1') She married the <u>wrong</u> person.
 - (1a') ta jia-<u>cuo</u>-le ren she marry-<u>wrong</u>-PERF person
 - (1b') ta jia-<u>cuo</u> le ren
 - (1c') ta jia-<u>cuo</u>-le ren
 - (1d') ta jie-<u>cuo</u> hun-le she tie-<u>wrong</u> marry-PERF

(2') He lost <u>another</u> book.

- (2a') ta <u>you</u> diu-le yi-ben shu he <u>again</u> lost-PERF one-CL book
- (2b') ta <u>you</u> diu-le yi-ben shu
- (2c') ta <u>you</u> diu-le yi-ben shu
- (2d') ta you diu-le ben shu

(3') She is our <u>new</u> teacher.

- (3a') ta shi women de <u>xin</u> laoshi she is we POSS new teacher
- (3b') ta shi women de xin laoshi
- (3c') ta shi women de xin laoshi
- (3d') ta shi women de xin laoshi

(4') He ate another bowl of rice.

(4a') ta <u>you</u> chi-le yi-wan fan he <u>again</u> eat-PERF one-bowl rice

(4b') ta duo chi-le yi-wan fan he more eat-PERF one-bowl rice (4c') ta duo chi-le yi-wan fan (4d') ta you chi-le wan mifan (5') She read all three books. (5a') ta san-ben shu dou kan-le he three-CL book all read-PERF (5b') ta du-guo-le san-ben shu he read-through-PERF three-CL book (5c') ta du-le san-ben shu quanbu de he read-PERF total NOM three-CL book (5d') san-ben shu ta quan du-le three-CL book he all read-PERF (6') I will visit as many interesting places as possible. (6a') wo hui jinliang qu kan you-yisi duo de will as-far-as-possible more/many go look have-interest NOM place Ι (6b') wo yao fangwen jinkeneng duo-de vixie you-qu nearly-as-possible more/many-ADV some have-interest NOM place I want visit qu baifang naxie (6c') wo hui jinliang youqu de I will <u>as-far-as-possible</u> go visit some-of-those have-interest NOM place. (6d') wo jiang jinkeneng <u>duo-d</u>e qu you naxie I shall nearly-as-possible more/many-ADV go tour some-of-those scene-spot (7) The authors offer a rough definition of existentialism. (7a') zuozhe gei-le cunzaizhuyi dagai de dingvi author give-PERF existentialism rough NOM definition yi-ge culue de guanyu cunzaizhuyi de (7b') zuozhemen gei-le authors give-ASP one-Cl rough NOM about existentialism NOM definition (7c') zuozhe dui cunzaizhuyi geiyu yi-ge jiandan de jieshi author toward existentialism offer one-CL simple NOM explanation (7d') zuozhemen biaoda-le culue de cunzaizhuyi gainian authors convey-PERF rough NOM existentialism notion (8') She resolved the entire matter. (8a') ta ba shiqing quanbu chuli jiejue-le she take matter completely process resolve-PERF

difang

de

difang

difang

jing-dian

dingvi

(8b') ta jiejue-le suoyou de wenti she resolve-PERF all NOM question

- (8c') ta jiejue-le zhe-jian shiqing she resolve-PERF this-CL matter
- (8d') ta jiejue-le suoyou de wenti
- (9') Many such questions can be listed.
 - (9a') <u>hen duo</u> zhei-lei de wenti <u>dou</u> keyi lie-chu-lai <u>very many</u> this-type NOM question <u>all</u> can list-out-come
 - (9b') <u>xuduo</u> zhei-yang de wenti <u>dou</u> keyi lie-cheng danzi <u>many</u> this-kind NOM question <u>all</u> can list-become list
 - (9c') <u>xuduo</u> leisi wenti keyi bei lie-chu <u>many</u> similar question can PASSIVE list-out
 - (9d') zhei-lei wenti <u>tai duo</u> le this-type question <u>too many</u> EMP
- (10') He adopted <u>most</u> of Smith's earlier methodology.
 - (10a') ta caiyong-le <u>da-bu-fen</u> SMITH zaoqi de fangfa he adopt-PERF <u>most</u> SMITH early NOM method
 - (10b') ta caiyong-le <u>dabufen</u> shimisi zaoqi de fangfalun he adopt-PERF <u>most</u> Smith early NOM methodology
 - (10c') ta jieshou-le <u>dabufen</u> shimisi de zaoqi de fangfalun he accept-PERF <u>most</u> Smith NOM early NOM methodology
 - (10d') ta xiqu-le <u>xuduo</u> shimisi zaoqi de lilun he assimilate-PERF <u>much</u> Smith early NOM theory

(11') We need more study of actual student behavior.

- (11a') women xuyao dui xuesheng de xingwei jinxing <u>geng duo</u> de yanjiu we need toward student ASSOC behavior carry-on <u>still more</u> NOM research
- (11b') women xuyao <u>geng duo</u> de yanjiu xuesheng de shiji xingwei we need <u>still more</u> NOM research students ASSOC actual behavior
- (11c')women xuyao dui xuesheng de shiji biaoxian jinxing <u>geng duo</u> de yanjiu we need toward student NOM actual manifest carry-on <u>still more</u> NOM research
- (11d') women xuyao <u>geng duo</u> de guanyu xuesheng xingwei de yanjiu we need <u>still more</u> NOM about student behavior NOM research
- (12') Smith puts <u>more</u> emphasis on the relationship between the two.
 - (12a') Smith <u>geng</u> qiangdiao liang-zhe zhijian de guanxi Smith <u>still-more</u> emphasize two-NOM between NOM relationship
 - (12b') Shimisi <u>geng</u> <u>duo-de</u> zhongshi zhe-liang-zhe zhijian de Smith <u>still-more more-ADV</u> give-importance-to these-two-NOM between NOM guanxi relationship

- (12c') Shimisi dui erzhe zhijian de guanxi you <u>geng</u> <u>duo</u> de guanzhu Smith toward two-NOM between NOM relationship have <u>still-more more</u> NOM attend-to
- (12d') Shimisi <u>geng</u> zhuzhong liang-zhe jian de guanxi Smith still-more lay-stress-on two-NOM between NOM relationship
- (13') Autopsies have revealed little evidence of this linkage.
 - (13a') jiepou <u>mei-you</u> faxian <u>hen-duo</u> guanlian de zhengju autopsy <u>not-PERF</u> discover <u>very-much</u> be-related NOM evidence
 - (13b') shijian <u>mei-you</u> xianshi zhengming you zheizhong shuofa de zhengju autopsy <u>not-PERF</u> discover prove have this-type formulation NOM evidence
 - (13c') shijian dui zhei-ge lianxi tigong <u>hen shao</u> de zhengju autopsy toward this-CL relation provide very few NOM evidence
 - (13d') huayan bing <u>mei</u>-gei-chu <u>duoshao</u> xiangguan de zhengju laboratory-test EMP <u>not(PERF)</u>-give-out <u>how-much</u> be-interrelated NOM evidence
- (14') <u>Neither</u> of these findings can explain the observation.
 - (14a') <u>zhei-liang zhong</u> diaocha jieguo <u>dou bu</u> neng jieshi suojian xianxiang <u>these-two type</u> investigate result <u>all not</u> can explain what-is-seen phenomenon
 - (14b') zhexie faxian <u>dou bu</u>-neng jieshi zhe-zhong xianxiang these discover <u>all not</u> can explain this-type phenomenon
 - (14c') zheixie zhengju bing <u>bu</u> neng jieshi zhe-ge faxian these evidence EMP <u>not</u> can explain this-CL discover
 - (14d') zhexie faxian <u>dou bu</u> neng shuoming wenti these discover <u>all</u> <u>not</u> can explain problem
- (15') These factors have almost <u>no</u> effect.
 - (15a') tamen jiben <u>mei-you</u> <u>renhe</u> xiaoguo they basic <u>not-have any</u> effect
 - (15b') zhexie yinsu jihu <u>mei-you</u> <u>renhe</u> xiaoguo these factor nearly <u>not-have any</u> effect
 - (15c') zhexie yinsu jiben-shang <u>mei-you</u> <u>renhe</u> xiaoli these factor basic-on not-have any effect
 - (15d') zhexie dou <u>wuguan-jinyao</u>
 - these all <u>of-no-consequence</u>

As can be seen, the tendency for Chinese speakers to represent quantities and qualities adverbially appears quite strong—especially in light of the fact that the English sentence prompts, by already being adjectival in their representations, should have invited an analogous representation in Chinese, if one were available.

Out of the 15 nouny English sentences, where quantities and qualities are adjectival, 9 sentences were translated into Chinese using adverb for quantities and qualities—e.g., 1' ta jia-<u>cuo</u>-le ren and 2' ta <u>you</u> diu-le yi-ben shu. 4 sentences were

represented with adjectives; and 2 sentences split between adjectival and adverbial representations.

The two split sentences were 8' and 9'—with 8' weighted toward adjectival and 9' weighted toward adverbial. 8b' and 8d' were adjectival: ta jiejue-le <u>suoyou</u>de wenti. 8a' was adverbial: ta ba shiqing <u>quanbu</u> chuli-jiejue-le. The informant for 8c' was agnostic and did not quantify either way. For the 9' sentences, 9a' and 9b' were analyzed as adverbial: <u>hen duo/xuduo</u> zhei-lei de wenti <u>dou</u> keyi lie-chu-lai. In these two sentences, the quantifiers, hen duo and xuduo, are paired with the adverbial, dou. 9c', with the absence of adverbial dou, is analyzed as adjectival: <u>xuduo</u> leisi wenti keyi bei lie-chu. 9d' chose stative verb predicate: zhei-lei wenti <u>tai duo</u> le.

The four sentences that showed up adjectival are 3', 7', 10' and 11'. In 3', 'new teacher' is rendered as <u>xin</u> laoshi. In 7', 'rough definition' is rendered, for example in 7b', as <u>culue</u> de dingyi. In 10', 'most of Smith's earlier methodology' is rendered, for example in 10a', b', and c', as <u>dabufen</u> shimisi zaoqi de fangfalun. In 11', 'more research' is rendered as gengduo de yanjiu. However, here it should be pointed out that all the above adjectival sentences, with the notable exception of 3', include the relativizing particle de (coded NOM, following Li and Thompson (1981)) between the premodifying quantity/quality and the head noun. The presence of de means that in their analysis, these noun phrases exemplify Li and Thompson's notion that adjectival meanings generally belong to the category stative verb. That is, the predicate verbal sense of stative culue in culue de dingyi is preserved as in an adjective clause, 'definition which [is] rough.'

3. Discussion

Although, the evidence presented is merely suggestive, it does show that the tendency toward adverbial representation of quantities and qualities is a persistent one in Chinese. The most interesting outlier is 3', 'new teacher'—rendered adjectivally by all informants as xin laoshi; not as xin-lai de laoshi or even as xin de laoshi. Li and Thompson (1981) explain that de can be omitted when the premodifier and head form a useful category. So, yuan zhuozi, 'round table,' forming a useful category, is fine, but ?yuan de zhuozi is not. Similarly ?shufu yizi, 'comfortable chair,' sounds strange—it does not form a useful category—but shufu de yizi is fine. Discourse factors, of course, should be included in any analysis. For example, descriptive grammars of Chinese would, no doubt, recommend piaoliang de guniang, 'beautiful girl,' as the normal pattern, but if the reference is to a type—as in: ta shi yi-ge piaoliang guniang, 'she is a beautiful girl'— the de can be omitted. A fuller picture about the omission of de—which in this analysis changes the premodifier from a stative predicate inside a relative clause into an adjective—is needed. Prosodic factors will need to be included as well. An analysis of tagged corpus data would be a good next step.

With respect to typology, we would like to suggest that observing how qualities and quantities are represented as parts-of-speech can be a useful parameter when thinking about natural language typology—particularly if this representation correlates with an accumulation of other well-known features of natural languages such as mass vs. count noun, topic-prominent vs. subject-prominent, \pm pro-drop, \pm tensed, \pm aspectual morphology,

Finally, from a human perspective, one can imagine that there should be some underlying conceptual coherence that would capture the ontology implicit in any accumulation features. Nouny and verby are merely labels that suggest tendencies. How best to characterize such coherence is a problem.

REFERENCES

- AARTS, BAS, et al. (eds.) 2004 Fuzzy grammar: A reader. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- CHAN, NING-PING. 1985. The nouny alternative. PhD. Dissertation: The University of California, Berkeley.
- GIVON, TALMY. 1993. English grammar: A function-based introduction vol. I Amsterdam & Phila.: John Benjamins.
- GRAHAM, ANGUS C. 1986. Studies in Chinese Philosophy and philosophical language. Singapore. Institute of EastAsian Philosophies.
- HANSEN, CHAD. 1983. Language and logic in ancient China. Ann Arbor: The University of Michigan Press.
- HARBSMEIER, CHRISTOPH. 1991. The mass-noun hypothesis and the part-whole analysis of the white horse dialogue. In Rosement (ed.), 49-66.
- HSIEH, HSIN-YI. 1978. Chinese as a pictorial language. Journal of Chinese Language Teachers Association. 13(2) 167-172.
- LI, CHARLES N., & THOMPSON, SANDRA A. 1981. Mandarin Chinese: A functional reference grammar. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- LYONS, JOHN. 1966. Towards a 'notional' theory of 'parts of speech.' Journal of Linguistics 2(2) 209-236.
- LYONS, JOHN. 1977. Semantics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ROSEMONT, HENRY, Jr. (ed.) 1991. Chinese texts and philosophical contexts: Essays dedicated to Angus C. Graham. Lasalle, Ill.: Open Court.
- ROSS, JOHN R. 2004/1973. Nouniness. In Aarts, et al. (eds.), 351-422.
- ROUZER, JACK H. 2003. The conceptualization of activities, processes, and events as nominals in Chinese. Paper presented at the Conference on Language, Culture and Cognition, 16 July 2003, Braga, Portugal.
- TAI, JAMES H.-Y. 1982. Also on adverb-like adjectives in Chinese. Journal of Chinese Linguistics 10(1) 81-85.
- WETZER, HARRIE. 1996. The Typology of Adjectival Predication. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter.